SYNCEREAI





LangRob @ CoRL 2024

Find Everything: A General Vision Language Model Approach to Multi-Object Search

Daniel Choi*, Angus Fung, Haitong Wang, Aaron Hao Tan

Background

- Multi-Object Search Problem involves navigating to a sequence of locations to maximize the likelihood of finding target objects while minimizing travel costs.
- Existing approaches face challenges such as inefficient exploration due to limited semantic modeling between objects and scenes, and poor generalization caused by the sim-to-real gap.
- Single-Object Search methods cannot handle multiple objects

Contributions

- Multi-Channel Score Maps: Introduced to simultaneously capture and track the semantic correlation between multiple target objects, the environment, and objects within the environment.
- Fusion Technique: Combines scene-level correlations with objectlevel correlations, to overcome the limitations of coarse scene-level embeddings.
- Extensive Simulation and Real-World Validation: Demonstrated

simultaneously and rely on coarse, noisy embeddings unsuitable for dense environments.

extensive simulation and real-world experiments to validate Finder's performance. The code is also available upon request.

FINDER



- Object Detector: Detects both target and scene objects using YOLOv7 and G-DINO for Open Vocabulary Detection.
- Spatial Map Generator: Builds occupancy
- Exploration Planner: Selects navigation waypoints based on unified score maps.
- Score Map Generator: Two-part score mapping (StO and OtO) and fusion for
- Unified Score Map is the element-wise addition

target objects, creating a map of relevant object

and semantic maps from RGB-D data. guiding navigation.

of the OtO and StO map.

Object-to-Object (OtO) Map weighs each

correlations.

scene object by their semantic similarity to

Experiments —	Results —
- Habitat Simulator	Comparison Ablation Scalability
	Table 1: Comparison between Finder and SOTA methods
	$\frac{1}{M} 300 - \frac{1}{M} Methods = \frac{1}{M} MSPL^{\uparrow} MSPL^{\uparrow}$
	Random Walk 0.5% 0.0043 0.0% 0.0
Score Man Channel 1 Score Man Channel 2	• 200- ▶ MultiON – – 23.9% 0.159
(Chair) (TV)	C oW 14.2% 0.113 1.9% 0.059
	E ₁₀₀ L3MVN (Zero-Shot) 27.2% 0.187 6.6% 0.043
	Z L 3MVN (Feed-Forward) 28.1% 0.188 7.3% 0.051
The second state of the se	VLFM 32.4% 0.155 12.6% 0.104
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Image: Sector B4% Image: Sector B4% Image: Sector B4% Image: Sector B4% Image: Sector B4% Image: Sector B4%	Table 2: Ablation Results Comparison Study (SOTA methods) Variants SR↑ MSPL↑ Finder w/o StO 61.5% 0.364 Finder w/o StO 59.2% 0.364
Description: Demo of multi-channel score maps for multi-object search. Environment: HM3D Dataset 3 Target Objects : Chair, TV, Bed	Finder W/o OtO 58.3% 0.337 Finder (ours) 63.4% 0.389 ≻ Scalability Study (# of Objects)
Sim-to-Real	Takeaways



- > Environment areas: Study, Fireplace and Lounge.
- > **Equipment:** TurtleBot with Kinect camera capturing RGB-D.
- > Target Objects: Garbage Bin, Fireplace, Laptop, Shoes, etc.
- To assess:
 - > Finder's **generalizability** in real-world environments.
 - Finder's scalability in handling increasing # of targets

- problem across diverse environments.
- Superior Performance: Outperformed SOTA methods in simulated and real-world tests in success rate (SR) and path efficiency (MSPL).
- Future Goals: Expand to handle dynamic objects and interactive search scenarios.

